

## An intact sense of pitch is critical to not only discriminate notes in a musical scale, but also perceive vowels and emotion.

- Longstanding pitch theories weigh the importance of tonotopy (place) vs temporal coding (time).
- The precise mechanisms by which SNHL affects pitch coding and perception are yet to be resolved.
- Cochlear Synaptopathy, Inner Hair Cell (IHC), and Outer Hair Cell (OHC) damage may differentially impact place and time cues useful for pitch perception, despite often being indifferentiable through non-specific standard clinical assays.



EFRs to discrete and swept tone complex stimuli were select-

This elicits an EFR with energy at F0 when all harmonics in

The change in envelope coding with increasing harmonic

rank was quantified through spectral (Phase Locking Value, PLV) and temporal (AutoCorrelation Function, ACF) analyses.

the complex are resolved, but 2\*F0 when harmonics are un-

ed to investigate neural coding across cochlear place.

The harmonics in each tone complex were presented

in alternating (ALT) phase. This results in a temporal

envelope modulation of 2\*F0, while place cues are

spaced 1\*F0 apart.

resolved<sup>1</sup>.

Deficits in the neural coding of complex tones and fundamental frequency depend on the type of cochlear damage.

# Carboplatin (N=6)

Carboplatin-induced inner hair cell damage appears to reduce the peakiness of EFRs, possibly due to impairment of the transduction non-linearity<sup>4</sup>.

Neural synchrony to envelope cues, usually driven by unresolved harmonic ranks is re-

# Permanent Threshold Shift (N=6)

Noise substantial enough to induce permanent threshold shifts also broadens and distorts cochlear tuning<sup>5</sup>.

resolved. Neural phase locking to envelope is enhanced due to the interference of multiple



Band-limited tone complexes have been used to probe the fidelity of cochlear time and place cues through both physiological (Envelope Following Responses, EFRs) and behavioral (Fundamental Frequency Difference Limens, F0DLs) measures.



## **Temporary Threshold Shift (N=5)**

We expected more similarities to the carboplatin model, given that synaptopathy should alter IHC output to the auditory nerve.

However, TTS induced EFR deficits appear similar to those explained by broader tuning in the PTS group-- though more subtle.

We observe a slight left-shift of the transition

## Gentamicin (N=2)

ABR thresholds after gentamicin exposure were severely elevated, necessitating a higher stimulus level (80 dB SPL, dashed).

The swept tone complex was useful for efficiently probing the transition point at multiple levels with limited time and an unpredictable severity of hearing loss.



EFRs to tone complex stimuli and controlled models of hearing loss in chinchillas are being leveraged to better understand the mixed profiles of hearing loss that appear in a broad population of human subjects. This will facilitate mechanistic explanations for the variability seen in pitch outcomes like Acoustic Change Complex (ACC), and F0DLs

### Stimulus Design



Electrophysiology

#### Chinchilla Exposure Paradigms

Four exposure paradigms were chosen, each causing a different profile of SNHL.

	Carboplatin				Permanent Threshold Shift		
IHC Damage:	120			20			
Carboplatin (38 mg/kg, IP). Data collected 2 weeks post	110		1	10			
exposure. Results in <b>minimal threshold shift</b> , with relatively	100		1	00			
uniform IHC stereocilial damage, ~15% loss of IHCs <sup>2</sup>	90			90			



Pre

# Pitch Perceptual Implications

The EFR reveals that the fidelity of cochlear place and timing cues are differentially impacted based on the subtype of hearing loss. We are investigating how pitch discrimination (F0DL) is affected by deficits in place and time coding and frequency selecitivity.

PLV = .1

Rank = 12

Rank = 10

Rank = 8

## Human EFR Data

Preliminary data indicate robust EFRs to discrete harmonic rank stimuli in young, normal-hearing, (YNH) subjects. A resolved-unresolved transition point is evident around a harmonic rank between 6 and 8.

Subjects with hearing loss (HL, defined as audiometric thresholds > 25

## **Envelope-Following Response** a compared and marked and a should be have been Man and marked and an and a second as a second





#### Acknowledgements:

We would like to thank the Purdue Interdisciplinary Training Program in Auditory Neuroscience (TPAN; 1T32DC016853), NIDCD F30DC020916 (A.S.), F32DC021345 (S.H.), R01DC009838 (M.H.), and R01DC015989 (H.B.) for funding and Dr. Andrew Oxenham for helpful feedback and discussion related to this experimental approach.



#### **References:**

[4] Sivaprakasam, A. et al., ARO 2023 [1] Krishnan, R., et al., Hearing Research, 2011 [2] Axe, D., Thesis, 2017 [5] Parida, S., Heinz, M., J Neurosci 2022 [3] Bharadwaj, H., et al., Commun Biol 2022